Approved For Release 2002/05/08: CIA-RDP74B00415R000600060002-4

SECRET

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 5 August 1969

- 1. (Unclassified JMM) With the Director's concurrence, I called Mr. Doug Baldwin, in the office of Representative John Wold, to say that the Agency was no longer responsible for the pacification program in Vietnam and to suggest that Mr. Wold and his colleagues, Representatives Dennis and Landgrebe, would get a more useful and broader presentation of this and other Vietnam matters from the Defense Department.
- 2. (Secret JMM) Called Senator Birch Bayh in response to his earlier attempt to reach Mr. Carl Duckett. Senator Bayh said he wished to get details on the accuracy of the SS-9--that he understood Secretary Laird was being quoted in the Safeguard debate as saying the SS-9 now has a CEP of one-quarter mile, but Bayh questions this and would like verification. I said I would come by his office and discuss this matter personally.

After checking the latest "Memo to Holders" on the subject, I called on Senator Bayh to say that the community is in agreement that at present the SS-9 CEP is probably not less than . 5 miles or more than . 75; that in order to bring it down to . 25 miles a new guidance system would be necessary, but we have no evidence that such a system is under development and do not believe such a system could become operational before 1972.

3. (Confidential - JMM) Showed Senator Birch Bayh a draft letter to Senator Sam J. Ervin explaining our reasons for opposing publication of the <u>Director's testimony regarding S. 782 before the Constitutional Rights</u> Subcommittee. Senator Bayh said he thought the draft was satisfactory.

Discussed with Senator Bayh and Larry Conrad, Chief Counsel of Bayh's Subcommittee on Constitutional Amendments, our draft "fall-back" amendment providing for the intelligence community limited exemptions from S. 782. Bayh indicated that at tomorrow's meeting of the Subcommittee on Constitutional Rights he would raise the poss ibility of a full exemption for the Agency but if, as expected, this was unacceptable, he would propose our suggested fall-back position.